

# Principal Ideals in Matrix Rings

Morris Newman and Stephen Pierce

Institute for Basic Standards, National Bureau of Standards,  
Washington, D.C. 20234

(April 21, 1969)

It is shown that every left ideal of the complete matrix ring of a given order over a principal ideal ring is principal, and a partial converse is proven.

Key words: Dedekind ring; matrix ring; non-Noetherian ring; principal ideal ring.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ring with a unity 1, and let  $n$  be a positive integer. It is well-known [3, p. 37]<sup>1</sup> that every two-sided ideal of  $R_n$  (the complete matrix ring of order  $n$  over  $R$ ) is necessarily of the form  $M_n$ , where  $M$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Simple examples show that this result no longer holds for one-sided ideals. In this note we investigate the left ideals of  $R_n$  in the case when  $R$  is a principal ideal ring (an integral domain in which every ideal is principal). We shall prove

**THEOREM 1:** *If  $R$  is a principal ideal ring, then every left ideal of  $R_n$  is principal.*

The proof of Theorem 1 depends upon the fact that if  $A$  is any  $p \times q$  matrix over  $R$ , then a unit matrix  $U$  of  $R_p$  exists such that the  $p \times q$  matrix  $UA$  is upper triangular [2, p. 32].

We also establish the following partial converse to Theorem 1:

**THEOREM 2:** *If  $R$  is not Noetherian or if  $R$  is a Dedekind ring but not a principal ideal ring, then  $R_n$  contains a nonprincipal left ideal.*

For general information on rings, see [3]. For information on Dedekind rings, see [1, p. 101].

## 2. Proofs

We denote the matrix of  $R_n$  which has 1 in position  $(i, j)$  and 0 elsewhere by  $E_{ij}$ . We first prove

**LEMMA 1:** *Suppose that every left ideal of  $R$  has a finite  $R$ -basis. Then so has every left ideal of  $R_n$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $a$  be a left ideal of  $R_n$ . Let  $a_k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , be the subset of  $a$  consisting of all matrices of  $a$  whose first  $k-1$  columns are 0; and set  $a_1 = a$ . Then, as is easily verified,  $a_k$  is a left ideal of  $R_n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Let  $M_{ik}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the set of elements of  $R$  occurring in the  $(i, k)$  position of all matrices of  $a_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $M_{ik}$  is a left ideal of  $R$  (since  $a_k$  is a left ideal of  $R_n$ ) and so has a finite  $R$ -basis, say

$$m_{ik}^l, 1 \leq l \leq l_{ik}.$$

Hence we can find  $l_{ik}$  matrices of  $a_k$ , say  $A_{ik}^l$ , such that the  $(i, k)$ th entry of  $A_{ik}^l$  is  $m_{ik}^l$ . It follows that the  $l_{ik}$  matrices

$$B_{ik}^l = E_{ii}A_{ik}^l, 1 \leq i, k \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq l_{ik},$$

also belong to  $a_k$ , have  $m_{ik}^l$  as their  $(i, k)$ th entry, but have nonzero entries in the  $i$ th row only. These

<sup>1</sup> Figures in brackets indicate the literature at the end of this paper.

matrices constitute a finite  $R$ -basis for  $a$ . For suppose that  $A$  is any element of  $a$ . We first find elements  $r_{i1}^l$  of  $R$  such that

$$A - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{l_{i1}} r_{i1}^l B_{i1}^l = A_2 \epsilon a_2;$$

we then find elements  $r_{i2}^l$  of  $R$  such that

$$A_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{l_{i2}} r_{i2}^l B_{i2}^l = A_3 \epsilon a_3;$$

and continuing in this manner, we determine elements  $r_{ik}^l$  of  $R$  such that

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{l_{ik}} r_{ik}^l B_{ik}^l.$$

This completes the proof.

We now prove Theorem 1. Let  $a$  be a left ideal of  $R_n$ . By Lemma 1,  $a$  possesses a finite  $R$ -basis, say  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ . Let  $B$  be the  $nt \times t$  matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_t \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $U$  be a unit matrix of  $R_{nt}$  such that  $UB = T$  is upper triangular. Thus

$$UB = T = \begin{bmatrix} H \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $H$  is an  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix, and the zero block  $0$  is  $(nt - n) \times n$ . We shall show that  $a = R_n H$ . For if we write  $U = (U_{ij})$ , where the matrices  $U_{ij}$  are  $n \times n$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^t U_{1j} B_j = H,$$

so that  $H \epsilon a$ , implying that

$$R_n H \subset a.$$

If we then write  $U^{-1} = V = (V_{ij})$ , where the matrices  $V_{ij}$  are  $n \times n$ , then  $V$  belongs to  $R_{nt}$  (since  $U$  is a unit matrix of  $R_{nt}$ ) and from  $B = VT$  we find that

$$B_i = V_{i1} H, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

implying that

$$a \subset R_n H.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 2, we first observe that for any left ideal  $M$  of  $R$ , the left ideal  $M_n$  of  $R_n$  can be principal only if  $M$  has a set of  $n$  or fewer generators. In particular, if  $R$  is non-Noetherian,  $M$  can be chosen to violate this condition.

We now assume that  $R$  is a Dedekind ring and that any ideal in  $R$  can be generated by at most  $n$  elements. Let  $S$  be a nonprincipal ideal in  $R$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subset of  $R_n$  consisting of all matrices with first column entries in  $S$  and all other entries arbitrary members of  $R$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a left ideal in  $R_n$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is not principal.

Suppose the contrary. Let  $X = (x_{ij})$  generate  $\mathcal{S}$ , so that  $\mathcal{S} = R_n X$ . Clearly the  $x_{i1}$  generate  $S$ ;  $S = \{x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{n1}\}$ . We may assume that  $x_{11}$  is not zero, since we may interchange the rows of  $X$  by left multiplication by a permutation matrix. Let  $d = \det X$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  contains nonsingular matrices (for example,  $\text{diag}(x_{11}, 1, \dots, 1)$ )  $X$  must be nonsingular and thus  $d$  is a nonzero element of  $S$ . Let  $Y = (y_{ij})$  be the adjoint of  $X$ , so that

$$XY = YX = dI.$$

Then  $Y \in R_n$ , and if  $C$  is any matrix in  $\mathcal{S}$ , every element of  $CY$  must be divisible by  $d$ . First choose  $C = x_{i1} E_{11}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We obtain

$$\{d\} | \{x_{i1} y_{ij}\}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Next choose  $C = E_{1j}$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . We obtain

$$\{d\} | \{y_{ij}\}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (2)$$

Put  $y = \{y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n}\}$ . Then (2) implies that  $y\{d\}^{n-1} | \{\det Y\}$ ; and since  $\det Y = d^{n-1}$ ,  $y = \{1\} = R$ . Hence  $\{y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n}\} = \{1\} = R$ . But now (1) and (2) imply that  $\{d\} | \{x_{i1}\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Write

$$x_{i1} = \beta_i d, \quad \beta_i \in R, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (3)$$

Since  $d \in S$  and the  $x_{i1}$  are a basis for  $S$ , elements  $r_i$  of  $R$  exist such that

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_{i1}.$$

But now (3) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \beta_i = 1,$$

and hence

$$S = \{x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{n1}\} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\} \{d\} = \{d\}.$$

Thus  $S$  is principal, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 3. References

- [1] Curtis, C., and Reiner, I., Representation theory of finite groups and associated algebras, Interscience (1962).
- [2] MacDuffee, C. C., The theory of matrices, Chelsea (1946).
- [3] McCoy, N. H., The theory of rings, Macmillan (1964).

(Paper 73B3-300)